

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	Address: COMMISSIONER OF P Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	rademark Office ATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
09/689,992	10/13/2000		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
GARY L. CRE	- 11/16/2001	Craig C. Mello	07917-105001 / UMMC 00-04	1020
Fish & Richardso	on P C		EVALUE	
225 Franklin Stre Boston, MA 02	et 10-2804		STRZELECKA	
			ART UNIT 1656 DATE MAILED: 11/16/2001	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) MELLO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	09/689,992		
The same of the sa	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this	Teresa E Strzelecka		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will evering CIV (a).	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
7911 1 This action to make a	This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unconsposition of Claims		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims	LA parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) $1-16$ is/are pending in the application	tion		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) is/are without 5) is/are without 5) is/are without 5.	frawn from consider or		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawii irom consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/o			
pplication Papers	election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	_		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the state of the state	tne drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	IS. a) I approved by Takes	pproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	AGITIII EI.		
3) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for facet	an mala de	•	
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreiga) All b) Some * c) None of:	gri priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	to have been		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	to have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority.	is nave been received in Applic	cation No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a set in the second	Of the cortified as-i-		
The state of a claim for domesti	iC Driority under 35 U.S.O. s.d.	0() (
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been	र्ण (to a provisional application).	
i) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti hment(s)	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	eceivea. 20 and/or 121	
	33 11		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992 Page 2 Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

> I. Claims 1-3, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding an RDE-1 polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example.

II. Claim 4, drawn to a substantially pure RDE-1 polypeptide, and a method of preparing an RNAi agent by incubating dsRNA in the presence of RDE-1 and RDE-4 polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350, and class 435, subclass 6, for example.

III. Claim 5, drawn to an antibody to the RDE-1 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.

IV. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a method of enhancing expression of a transgene in a cell, comprising decreasing activity of the RNAi pathway, classified in class 435, subclass 440, for example.

V. Claims 8-10, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid encoding an RDE-4 polypeptide, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example.

VI. Claim 11, drawn to a substantially pure RDE-4 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.

VII. Claim 12, drawn to an antibody to the RDE-4 polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.

VIII. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method of inhibiting activity of a gene by introducing an RNAi agent into a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 440.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992
Art Unit: 1656
Page 3

3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group I could be used in an entirely different method, such as in a method of detection of the polynucleotide in a sample, rather than in a method of making the polypeptide of Group II.

- 4. Inventions I and (III, VI and VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group I, polypeptide of Group VI and the antibodies of Groups III and VII have different functions and different modes of operation.
- 5. Inventions I and (IV and VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group I and is not required for the methods of Groups IV and VIII.
- 6. Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polynucleotides of Group I and the polynucleotides of Group V encode different proteins with different functions and different modes of operation.
- 7. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as

claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II could be used for an entirely different purpose such as in the method of Group VIII, rather than for the production of antibodies of Group III.

- 8. Inventions II and (IV and VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II and is not required for the methods of Groups IV and VIII.
- Inventions II and (V-VII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they 9. are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP \S 806.04, MPEP \S 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II, polynucleotide of Group V, polypeptide of Group VI and the antibody of Group VII have different functions and different modes of operation.
- Inventions III and (IV and VIII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown 10. that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the antibody of Group III and is not required for the methods of Groups IV and VIII.
- Inventions III and (V-VII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that 11. they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the antibody of Group III, polynucleotide of Group V, polypeptide of Group VI and the antibody of Group VII have different functions and different modes of operation.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992
Art Unit: 1656
Page 5

12. Inventions IV and (V-VII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group V, polypeptide of Group VI and the antibody of Group VII are not required for the method of Group IV.

- 13. Inventions IV and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to methods which have different method steps, starting materials and goals.
- 14. Inventions V and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group V could be used in an entirely different method, such as in a method of detection of the polynucleotide in a sample, rather than in a method of making the polypeptide of Group VI.
- 15. Inventions V and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group V and the antibody of Group VII have different functions and different modes of operation.

Art Unit: 1656

Inventions V and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they 16. are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polynucleotide of Group V is not required for the method of Group VIII.

- 17. Inventions VI and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group VI could be used for an entirely different purpose such as in the method of Group II, rather than for the production of antibodies of Group VII.
- Inventions (VI, VII) and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that 18. they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group VI or an antibody of Group VII are not required for the method of Group VIII.

Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups

In addition, Groups I and V detailed above read on patentably distinct Groups drawn to multiple SEQ ID Numbers. The sequences are patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each Group. For an elected Group drawn to amino acid sequences, the Applicants must further elect a single amino acid sequence. For an elected Group drawn to nucleotide sequences, the Applicants are permitted to elect a single nucleic acid sequence (See MPEP 803.04).

MPEP 803.04 states:

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992 Art Unit: 1656 Page 7

Nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. Nevertheless, to further aid the biotechnology industry in protecting its intellectual property without creating an undue burden on the Office, the Commissioner has decided sua sponte to partially waive the requirements of 37 CFR 1.141 et seq. and permit a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. See Examination of Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequences, 1192 O.G. 68 (November 19, 1996).

It has been determined that normally ten sequences constitute a reasonable number for examination purposes. Accordingly, in most cases, up to ten independent and distinct nucleotide sequences will be examined in a single application without restriction. In addition to the specifically selected sequences, those sequences which are patentably indistinct from the selected sequences will also be examined. Furthermore, nucleotide sequences encoding the same protein are not considered to be independent and distinct inventions and will continue to be examined together.

- 19. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the necessity for noncoextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 20. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 21. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Teresa E Strzelecka whose telephone number is (703) 306-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:30).

Application/Control Number: 09/689,992

Art Unit: 1656

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached at (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

TS November 14, 2001

KENNETH R. HORLICK
PRIMARY EXAMINER 11/15/0